Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/809,447	NAM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew Heneghan	2139
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Matthew Heneghan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Eric Barr</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>8 May 2008</u>	Time: <u>2:20 PM EDT</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 18		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner and Attorney Barr agreed to incorporate claim 19 into claim 18 by an Examiner's amendment in order to avoid a possible rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Matthew Heneghan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)